A STUDY OF AUDIO TAPE

Noel K. Reen Purdue University

EDITOR'S NOTE: The information reported herein is the first of a two-party study conducted by the Purchasing Department of Purdue University to provide further information about various audiotapes for the consumer. Part I of the report, included herein, reveals the results of various analyses of tapes in the cassette format. Part II of the report, to appear in a forthcoming issue of NALLD JOURNAL, will present similar information for reel tapes.

INTRODUCTION

A review of current literature would show test results for a series of tape tests, all conducted by different test laboratories with varying test procedures and apparatus. With no common data base, it is impossible to compare test data and come to a valid conclusion on the type of audio tape which should be used in any given application.

This study provides a common data base with which to compare both reel and cassette tape, for signal-to-noise ratio, total harmonic distortion, dynamic response, frequency response, bias and virgin noise, and oxide coating uniformity. Testing has been divided into two classes, reel and cassette, as each class of tape requires slightly different input signal levels and test frequencies. It should be emphasized that comparison of tapes within a class is valid, but comparison of tapes between classes is not valid, due to the differing test conditions.

In an attempt to identify test tape recorders with the widest bandwidth and the highest signal-to-noise ratio, test data developed by CBS Laboratories for all available recorders was evaluated. The cassette recorder selected was the Nakamichi Model 700 unit, while the Pioneer Model RT1050 reel unit was chosen.

Test samples were solicited from distributors and manufacturers, with test facilities and some of the test equipment loaned by University departments. The test recorders and specialized test equipment were loaned by sources outside the University. Before testing began, standard testing procedures were developed and written to insure comparative validity and accuracy. Each phase of the tests was completed on all tapes at the same time to insure consistency of results. All test results were modified by the appropriate correction factors to account for impedance mismatches between the test recorders and test instruments.

The primary intent of the study was to identify differences between tapes in each class, rather than their actual performance in the test tape deck. Therefore, bias and equalization settings, where variable, were adjusted for standard tape, rather than optimizing each tape as it was tested.

APPARATUS AND TEST PROCEDURE

For cassette tests, tapes were arbitrarily divided into two classes, ferric oxide and chromium dioxide, as both were available. Tapes with high energy or doped formulations were included with ferric oxide tapes. The correction factor for impedance mismatch, + I.65 Db., is applied to all measurements.

TEST EQUIPMENT

- 1. Nakamichi Model 700 cassette recorder
- 2. General Radio Model 1523-P2 graphic level recorder with sweep oscillator
- 3. Jeolco Model JSM-U3 scanning electron microscope
- 4. General Radio Model 1932 A distortion and noise analyzer
- 5. Hewlett Packard Model 400L Vacuum tube voltmeter
- 6. General Radio Model 1310 sine wave oscillator
- 7. General Radio Model 1192B electronic counter
- 8. Model I dropout counter analyzer (Purdue Built)

TEST PROCEDURE

To evaluate cassette audio-tape, tests were performed to identify the following characteristics:

SIGNAL-TO-NOISE-RATIO—Measured as the difference between the biased noise level of each tape, with signal input shorted, and that input level which causes 3% Total Harmonic Distortion to be recorded at the output.

With the VTVM set to read Db., and connected to the test recorder line output, the test recorder is set to the record mode, with the monitor switch in tape position. The results are shown in Table I as Biased Tape Noise.

With the sweep oscillator set to 333Hz, the Distortion and Noise Analyzer is calibrated and adjusted to read total harmonic distortion, and is then connected to the test recorder line output. The sweep

Ą

oscillator is connected to the test recorder output, and with the recorder set to the record mode and the monitor switch set to tape position, the tone is recorded. The input level of the test recorder is adjusted until the Distortion and Noise Analyzer reads 3% THD. With the test recorder running, the Distortion and Noise Analyzer is disconnected ond the VTVM reconnected. The results are listed in Table 1 as 3% THD Level, DB.

The algebraic difference between the Biased Tape Noise level and the 3% THD Level is the Signal-To-Noise Ratio, and is shown in Table 1 for the tape under test.

TOTAL HARMONIC DISTORTION—Measured by recording a 333 Hz tone at -20 Db reference level, and obtaining the Total Harmonic Distortion from the Distortion and Noise Analyzer.

The Distortion and Noise Analyzer is calibrated and adjusted to 333Hz, after connection to the sweep oscillator which is set to the test frequency. After connecting the sweep oscillator to the input, and the Distortion and Noise Analyzer to the line output of the test recorder the recorder is set to record mode.

With the test recorder monitor switch set to tape, and the test recorder input level set to -20 Db., the tone is recorded.

The Distortion and Noise Analyzer will read Total Harmonic Distortion, and results are listed in Table 1.

By repeating the test at several frequencies, a Total Harmonic Distortion curve results. Figure 1 shows curves for four tapes, along with those for the test oscillator and test recorder.

DYNAMIC RESPONSE—Measured by recording a 333 Hz tone at an input level which results in 3% Total Harmonic Distortion at the test recorder line output.

The Distortion and Noise Analyzer is calibrated and adjusted to 333 Hz after connection to the sweep oscillator. With the sweep oscillator connected to the test recorder input, and the Distortion and Noise Analyzer connected to the line output, the test recorder is set to the record mode and with the monitor switch at tape position, records the tone.

The input level is increased until the Distortion and Noise Analyzer indicates 3% THD. With the test recorder running, the VTVM is connected in place of the Distortion and Noise Analyzer and the Dynamic Response, or Headroom, in Db as shown in Table 1 is read under the heading 3% THD, Db.

FREQUENCY RESPONSE—Measured by recording a variable frequency tone having a range of 20 Hz to 20 KHz, at -20 Db., and plotting the resultant response characteristics versus frequency.

With the sweep oscillator connected to the input of the test recorder, the line output of the test recorder is connected to the input of the Graphic Level Recorder. With the VTVM connected to the test recorder line output, and the monitor switch in source position, the test recorder input level is adjusted for .20 Db.

With the test recorder in the record mode and the monitor switch in tape position, the Graphic Level Recorder starts. When the response plot is complete, it will reset to the initial conditions automatically. Response plots for all tapes tested appear in Figures 2 and 3.

BIASED AND VIRGIN TAPE NOISE—Biased tape noise is measured by reading noise in Db., produced by a tape recorded on the test recorder, with the signal input shorted. Virgin tape noise is measured by reading the noise produced by an un-recorded virgin tape played on the recorder with signal input shorted.

With the VTVM set to read Db., and connected to the test recorder line output, the test recorder is set to record code with the monitor switch in the tape position. The results are recorded in Table 1 as Biased Tape Noise.

With the VTVM set to read Db., and connected to the test recorder line output, the test recorder monitor switch is set to tape position. With the test recorder in the play mode, the Virgin Tape Noise is read on the VTVM and is listed in Table 1 as Virgin Tape Noise.

OXIDE COATING UNIFORMITY—Determined by evaluation of Scanning Electron Micrographs in the Jeolco and International Scientific Instruments electron microscopes.

After 1/2 inch segments of tape are mounted on the test blocks, they are vacuum coated to improve conduction characteristics. The samples are then put in the microscope for analysis.

Micrographs are obtained for each tape at magnification of X 1000, X 10,000, and X 90,000, and evaluated for Oxide Coating Uniformity.

SUMMARY OF RESULTS AND CONCLUSIONS CASSETTE TAPE

A review of Table 1 shows that non-Dolby Signal-to-Noise Ratios vary only 3.7 Db. for the tapes tested. With Dolby on, the two tapes tested showed an average of 5.5 Db. improvement in Signal-to Noise Ratio.

As some work was done with the Dolby noise reduction system, an illustration of the Dolby principle is shown in Figure 4. For an

Summer 1975

input of -30Db., -20 Db., is recorded at the higher frequencies. Then, in playback, the 20 Db., level is reduced to -30 Db., accompanied by a marked drop in high frequency noise, or hiss. This results in the improved Signal-to Noise Ratio, shown in Table 1.

An analysis of Table 2 would show a tendency for Total Harmonic Distortion to decrease as frequency increases. Total Harmonic Distortion is higher at frequencies of 1 KHz and lower as more acoustic energy is transferred to the tape oxide during the recording process. The stronger magnetic flux more easily saturates the tape oxide layer than does the weaker flux of a higher frequency. For example, at 16 KHz the flux may not penetrate to the full depth of the oxide layer, while at 2 KHx the magnetic flux is of such a strength as to fully penetrate the oxide layer, over-saturating the oxide coating and causing distortion.

For derived sinusoidal waveforms, distortion exists and the magnitude is dependent on the method of generation. It can be shown that the most significant component of harmonic distortion is that of the third harmonic. Ideally, one should test for this component but, practically, the harmonic distortion and noise analyzer provide the total contribution of all harmonics, with the fundamental absent.

Table 2 shows the range of average Total Harmonic Distortion for the tested tapes to be 0.035% for ferric oxide types. Chromium dioxide tapes had an average Total Harmonic Distortion range of only 0.015%, about 29% better than ferric tapes.

As a reference, Total Harmonic Distortion for the sweep generator, and the test recorder, is shown in Table 3. For the sweep generator, the average THD is 0.119%, while the test recorder had an average THD of 0.019%. The total average contribution by these two instruments to Total Harmonic Distortion is only 0.138%, so calculation would show that the contribution to THD by only the tape under test is minimal, only about one third of the total average THD.

Although the Dynamic Response or Headroom of all tapes varied no more than 3.8 Db., three samples showed significantly improved performance with each above +10Db. at the test frequency. And though Table 1 indicates relatively small variations in Dynamic Response, it is evident that the chromium dioxide tapes have better signal-to-noise ratios, due to improved biased noise characteristics.

Had time permitted the measurement of Dynamic Response of all tapes at several frequencies, the results would probably have been similar to that shown in Table 4 and Figure 5, where one tape was evaluated at frequencies from 333 Hz to 8 KHz. It is evident that with increasing frequency, Dynamic Response characteristics seriously degrade. Although magnetic flux is much less than at the lower frei

quencies, excessive recording levels at high frequencies will cause a greatly increased total harmonic distortion which may be accompanied by self erasure and is evidenced by an apparent loss in high frequency response.

Frequency Response characteristics for the test tapes, the test recorder, and the graphic level recorder are shown in Figure 2 and 3. The test recorder demonstrates virtually no response abberations over the audio frequency range, permitting the recording of only the tape response without need for a correction factor.

The vertical scale in all Frequency Response is 5 Db. per major division, with all curves origination at 20 Hz. The relative position of each curve from O Db. is indicative of tape sensitivity, as the record level was continuously monitored at \cdot 20 Db. to avoid the possibility of tape saturation and consequent distortion.

The frequency Response curves for three types of chromium dioxide tape are within 1 Db. from 60 Hz to 20 KHz. Because of this extended response characteristic, the typical droop in response for ferric oxide tapes, beginning at about 2 KHz, does not occur when recording with chromium dioxide tape.

Several Frequency Response curves exhibit abberations at high frequencies, absent in the other plots.

Biased Tape Noise, on an average, is about 2 Db. greater than Virgin Tape Noise, as seen in Table 1. While Virgin Tape Noise is the residual noise of the tape oxide, when played on the test recorder, Biased Tape Noise is the contribution to noise by the test recorder bias oscillator. Practically, it is Biased Tape Noise which is of primary concern since it is the reference level for determination of the signalto-noise ratio of a tape.

It is evident that chromium dioxide tape has about 2.5 Db. lower biased tape noise that its ferric oxide counterpart, yielding higher signal-to-noise ratios.

Virgin Tape Noise, the base figure for all tape noise measurements, varies no more than 0.9 Db. for all ferric oxide tapes tested and no more than 0.8 Db. for the chromium dioxide tapes checked.

Oxide Coating Uniformity could not be determined due to insufficient time for experimentation.

CONCLUSIONS

Results of the study indicate there are only minor variations in Signal-to-Noise Ratio, Total Harmonic Distortion, Dynamic Response and Biased and Virgin Tape Noise of the 23 types of cassettes tested.

Frequency response characteristics are variable, and it is suggested that a tape user review the test curves to identify a tape to

Summer 1975

٦

fit the intended application. For those needs where only slight abberations over the entire audio spectrum can be tolerated, the obvious choice is a chromium dioxide tape. If an application requires recording signals up to 3 KHz, then the response plots can assist in selection of a tape with only the needed response characteristic.

The user must also consider the Dynamic Response and Signalto-Noise Ratio to insure that the best tape is chosen in the application, combined with the greatest economy. If wide dynamic ranges are anticipated in recording then the user must carefully consider the Dynamic Range characteristics so as to avoid saturation of the tape oxide and the resulting distortion.

APPENDIX A LIST OF DEFINITIONS

- SIGNAL-TO-NOISE RATIO (S/N): The difference between bias noise level, in Db., and the 3% Total Harmonic Distortion level, in Db.
- TOTAL HARMONIC DISTORTION (THD): The total contribution of all harmonics to distortion, expressed as a percent of the fundamental reference frequency, when fundamental frequency is absent.
- DYNAMIC RESPONSE (HEADROOM): That input level which results in 3% Total Harmonic Distortion in the output, measured at a reference frequency.
- FREQUENCY RESPONSE: The deviation in output characteristics over the range of 20 Hz to 20 KHz, in response to a constant input reference level.
- BIAS AND VIRGIN TAPE NOISE: That output resulting from the recording process, with a zero audio signal input, and that output resulting from the playing of an unrecorded, virgin tape.
- OXIDE COATING UNIFORMITY: That quality of oxide deposition on the tape base, as determined by evaluation of scanning electron micrographs.

. - ,

~

TAPE TYPE	VIRG	IN NOISE,	Db. BIA	SED TAPE NO	ISE, Db.	37, THD 1	LEVEL, Db.	SIGNAL-TO-NOISE RATIO
	re	1mw/600	ohm	re 1mw/600	ohm	333Hz, re	1mw/600 ohm	Db.
Scotch AVC 60		-43.2		41.2		7::	7	48.9
Memorex ATC 60		-42.8		40.8		8.0	5	49.8
Capitol Music Tape 45		-43.0		40.8		10.0	5	
Capitol #1, C60	••••	-42.9		41.1		7.3	7	48.8
Sony UHF C60		-42.8		41.1		8.	1	49.2
Sony Plus 2, C60		-42.6		-40.7		7.	7	48.4
Maxell LN C60		-42.6		40.7		7.0	6	48.3
ТОК D. C60		-42.7		40.9		8.:	3	49.2
White Box		-42.3		40.5		8.0)	48.5
Memorex MRX2, C60		-43.0		41.1		9.3	1	. 50.2
Maxell UD, C60		-42.3		40.3		9.	1	49.4
BASF SK. C60		-42.9		41.1		8.4	4	. 49.5
TDK SD, C60		-42.3		40.3		10.	7	51.0
TDK, ED, C90		-42.5		40.7		7.4	4	48.1
Scotch Classic		-43.0		41.2		9.3	2	50.4
Tracs Plus HE, C60		-42.8		40.8		6.9	9	47.7
Certron HE 60		-42.6		40.6		9.4	4	50.0
Certron HE 60 (Dolby On)		-51.6		47.6		9.3	2	56.8
Advent CRO2, C60		-46.8		43.3		8.:	3	51.6
Certron CR02, CD-60		-46.9		43.5		7.3	2	50.7
Memorex CRO, KRC		-46.1		43.1		8.	1	51:2
TDK CRO., KRC 60		-46.7		43.2		8.4	4	51.6
Scotch CRO,, C90		-46.6		43.3		7.3	7	51.0
Scotch CRO ² , C90 (Dolby On)				47.8		7.4	4	55.2
Capitol Music Tape 60		-42.9		40.6		10.2	2	48.8

~~

TABLE 1 Virgin and Biased Tape Noise, 3% THD, and Signal-to-Noise Ratio

TABLE 2

Total Harmonic Distortion Variation with Change in Frequency, (-20Db.)

TAPE_TYPE	1KHZ	2KHz	4KHz	8KHz	10KHz	12KHz	14KHz	16KHz	AVERAGE
Scotch AVC 60	.275	.215	.205	. 195	180	165	190	145	196
Memorex ATC 60	.280	.220	.215	.205	190	180	190	180	208
Capitol Music Tape C45	.265	.235	.230	.215	205	190	190	180	214
Capitol #1.C60	.275	.225	.215	.200	185	175	180	155	201
Capitol Music Tape C60	.285	.270	.230	.220	205	200	205	185	225
Sony UHF C60	.280	.255	.215	.200	185	175	185	165	208
Sony C60 Plus 2	.280	.265	.225	.215	200	185	175	175	215
Maxell LN C60	.280	.265	.220	.210	200	185	200	170	216
TDK D, C60	.270	.255	.220	.210	190	185	185	200	214
White Box	.250	.265	.220	.210	190	185	185	170	209
Memorex MRX ₂ , C60	.270	.265	.215	.210	185	185	185	180	219
Maxell UD, Č60	.290	.275	.235	.195	200	205	215	200	227
BASF SK, C60	.265	.250	.205	. 195	180	175	180	170	203
TDK SD, C60	.280	.280	.230	.220	200	195	200	200	226
TKD ED, C90	.275	.275	.225	.215	200	195	190	190	221
Scotch Classic , C60	.270	.260	.215	.200	185	180	185	175	209
Tracs Plus HE, C60	.255	.260	.225	.215	195	190	190	180	214
Certron HE, C60	.280	.265	.225	.215	205	190	190	190	192
Advent CRO2, C60	.145	.170	.150	.145	135	130	135	150	145
Certron CRO ₂ , C60	.140	150	.140	.135	185	175	180	175	160
Memorex CRO ₂ , C45	.200	.175	.145	.140	130	130	140	140	150
TDK CRO,, KRC 60	.185	.180	.145	. 145	135	135	145	130	150
Scotch CRO ₂ , C90	.170	.170	.140	.140	130	130	140	170	149

EQUIPMENT	<u>333H.z</u>	1KHz	2KHz	4KHz	8KHz	10KHz	12KHz	14KHz	<u>16KHz</u>	AVG.
General Radio Mod. 1310 Oscillator (ODB.)	.110	.105	.110	.095	.130	.130	. 128	.130	.140	.119
Nakamichi Mod. 700 Recorder (-2006.)	.038	.028	.020	.015	.020	.020	.020	.010	.020	.019

. .

TABLE 3

Total Harmonic Distortion, Test Oscillator and Test Recorder

TABLE 4Dynamic Response Variation with Change in Frequency

3% THD Level, Db., Rel mw/600 ohm

TAPE TYPE	<u>333Hz</u>	1KHZ	2KHz	4KHz	8KHz
Scotch CRO ₂ , C90	7.7	5.4	4.7	3.1	-8.3

Audio Tape

and the second second







Typical THD Curves for Four Tapes, and the Test Oscillator and Recorder

Audio Tape

тп

ПТ

200X

RZLATIVE RESICUSS, In DD.



FIGURE 2 FREQUENCY RESPONSE, REEL TAPE

Summer 1975

Audio Tape

Audio Tape



FIGURE 3 FREQUENCY RESPONSE, CASSETTE TAPE CONCLUSIONS

NALLD Journal

ſ

BELATIVE RESPONSE, in DD.



FIGURE 4 Dolby Transfer Characteristics

Summer 1975



FIGURE 5 Dynamic Response Vs. Frequency

40

NALLD Journal

CPS Directory of Suppliers of Spanish Materials 1974, Cruzada Spanish Publications, Homestead, Florida 33030 (\$2.00 per copy).

74-75 Catalog: Bilingual Educational Services, South Pasadena, California, 91030.

A prime frustration of Spanish teachers derives from the fact that we live and work in fractured field. When we are not rhapsodizing over the diversified cultures of the entire Spanish-speaking world, we fall into depressing envy of the more compact single country cultures which seem to have neatly organized, readily available, well evaluated listings of greatly varied materials. So we grasp at every new compilation, hoping for something similar, that is, definitive. Here are two additions, neither definitive, but both helpful in the perplexing quest for Spanish materials.

The CPS Directory is an informational listing of suppliers, their addresses and specialties, which does not include individual articles or recommendations. The thirty-eight categories in the index include: Books, Games, Newspapers, Pen Pals, Records, Comic Books, Flags, Placement Bureaus, and Free Materials. All vary greatly in completeness. For example, the Book listing has seventy-three distributors, or presses, but only nine somewhat capricious University press selections. Weak categories are on Encyclopedias, the rather strange Professional Texts, Summer Schools Abroad (the recent listing and evaluation in Hispania is far superior), and the very incomplete Summer Schools in the U.S.A.-only seven are mentioned! Yet it is a very useful compendium combining a large variety of sources and materials not frequently found listed together. The scope is from elementary through college levels. It has good print and limited advertising. And it is certainly worth up-dating (for example, deleting the listing of the defunct Club de Libro) and augmenting. We commend the efforts and encourage an annual edition.

Summer 1975

١

٩

1

١

١

۱

ŝ,